



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Mailing Code: NMSSMCERK - PATECTED AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20591-0000
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10 016,071	12 05 2001	James W. Comer	47501 VGG C614	6970
23363	7590	03 06 2003		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500 PASADENA, CA 91105			EXAMINER	
			LOCKER, HOWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1661	

DATE MAILED 03 06 2003

2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	--------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

Responsive to communication(s) filed on December 05, 2001

This action is FINAL.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

The claim is pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

The claim is rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449. Paper No(s). _____

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

10/016071
PTO-326 (Rev. 10/95)

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se, and which distinguish same over related or similar known cultivars and antecedents.

More specifically,

A. As the specification states that the instant plant has been successfully asexually reproduced by budding, the specification should set forth the rootstock(s)/plants utilized. Such need not be in greater detail than setting forth the Genus/species of same.

B. The botanical classification of the subject plant should be positively set forth in the specification.

C. Upper surface leaf texture should be more fully accounted for, such as by setting forth whether such is properly described as glossy, semi-glossy, or matte, particularly as the submitted photographic illustration is too dark to substantively and meaningfully convey this information.

D. Relative to foliage, size of the compound leaf should be accounted for in the specification. Additionally, relative to the recitation at lines 20-21 of page 3, the specification should be amended to include which leaflet in the compound leaf is being described (terminal or lateral). The measurements currently set forth relative to foliage size should likewise be reviewed for accuracy and appropriate correction provided if necessary.

E. Relative to the recitation at line 22 of page 3, such should be broadened to account for a more accurate expression of the plant, particularly as a three leaflet leaf is illustrated. If by the above referenced recitation applicant intended to state that the compound leaf most often has five leaflets, the specification should so state.

1. The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

"(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a description as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered."

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

"The specification shall contain a written description of the invention and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention."

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

"The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention."

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling.

"The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted."

F. As applicant appears to state at line 8 of page 4 that the foliage is slightly variegated, the secondary coloration of same should quantified in the specification.

G. The recitation (page 6, lines 12-13) "Slightly darkens; remains the same after 3 or more days" seems to be contradictory. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

H. Relative to petal texture (page 6, line 15), the current recitation "thick / rigid" should be amended restated as "Thick, rigid" or similar, if such is what was intended.

I. The color designation set forth for new wood should be reviewed for accuracy.

J. The recitation currently set forth relative to flowering stem length should be reviewed for accuracy, particularly given the stated market class of the cultivar, and that the blooms are borne singly.

K. It is not clear what is intended or encompassed by the recitation appearing at line 20 of page 4, relative to temperature range. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

L. It is not clear what is encompassed or intended by "Internode spacing: Irregular" as recited at line 22 of page 4. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

M. Bud size should be more meaningfully and completely accounted for in the specification. Presently, there is only a measurement set forth, and no mention as to whether such is typical length, width, or both. In addition, it is noted that the measurement set forth for bud size is the same as the diameter of the opened bloom, so such is obviously in error. Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

N. Additional information relative to the sepals should be imported into the specification in the interest of providing as complete a botanical description of the plant as is reasonably possible, such as by stating if the sepals are typically characterized as having extensions. If such is the case the specification should discuss such, at least relative to number of sepals so characterized. In addition, it is not clear what is intended or encompassed by "Sepals: Regular" as recited at line 9 of page 5.

O. Peduncle characteristics should be more completely set forth, such as by accounting for the surface characteristics thereof, and coloration thereof as there appears to be some anthocyanin coloration exhibited in the submitted photographic illustration.

P. It is not clear what is intended or encompassed by Persistence: Normal" as recited at line 27 of page 5. Does this mean that the petals persist or that the petals drop?

Q. Petal shape and margin should be more meaningfully described in the specification. In this regard, the outer petals of the open bloom illustrated would look to be properly characterized as being reflexed to a point, or similar.

R. The color designations currently set forth in the specification relative to petal coloration (lower surface) do not reasonably correspond to the plant as illustrated. Specifically, the lower petal surfaces illustrated would look to be more properly designated as yellowish on the basal portion and orange-red from the mid portion outward to the petal edge, rather than being a consistent yellow coloration as the color designations currently set forth in the specification would suggest. Correction and/or clarification is necessary. If the source of the noted discrepancy is the submitted photographic illustration, it may be necessary for applicant to file a substitute therefor. Two copies of such must be furnished. Applicant's

attention is directed to 37 CFR 1.165(a) and (b). If such is the case, it would also be necessary for applicant to change the general description of the bloom to orange and yellow bicolor (or similar).

S. Additional information should be imported into the specification relative to reproductive organs, such as by reciting an observed and characteristic numerical range therefore.

T. Prickles/thorns are not meaningfully accounted for in any manner in the specification. Such should be accounted for at least relative to coloration, relative number, size, and aspect/shape. The sole current recitation in this regard is "lots of prickles" relative to Old wood, bark. Such are obviously present on the young shoots as well, as evidenced in submitted photographic illustration.

U. If more information is now available relative to characteristic and observed disease resistance/susceptibility, such should be imported into the specification in the interest of providing as complete a botanical description of the plant as is reasonably possible, particularly appears to be a garden or landscape hybrid tea cultivar.

V. The section of the specification entitled "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATION" should be amended to more accurately reflect the contents of the photographic illustration. At present this section states that flowers are shown, while the illustration shows much more than just the flowers.

The above listing may not be comprehensive. Applicant should carefully review the disclosure and import into same any additional or corrected information which would aid in botanically identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is being sought.

Art Unit 1661

2. The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant, for the reasons set forth in paragraph 1 above.

3. Applicant is advised of the new optional procedures for amending the specification and claim under 37 CFR 1.121. The new procedures are optional until July, 2003, and such may become mandatory at that time. Applicant may review same on the internet at the following site:
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/revamdtprac.htm>

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Howard J Locker whose telephone number is 703-308-2924, and whose normal work hours are Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Bruce Campell, can be reached at 703-308-4205.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Serial No. 10/016071
Art Unit 1661

8-

TELECOPY/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to this Group via the PTO Fax Center in Crystal Mall 1 (CM 1). The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM 1 Fax Center number is 703-308-4556 or 703-305-3592.

Howard J. Locker/hjl

March 04, 2003


HOWARD J. LOCKER
EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 1661